ectt. 11-[redacted]-17-11-48

Revenue (1949) Department.

Copy

Ms. SERIES.

G.O. NO. 3079

Pages

Dated 6-12-49

[Despatch Abstract.]

Public Servants – (South I

Sri M. Gangadharan -- Inc

in the list of Deputy Ta

ordered

632384

2 . . T 1949

Confidential Revenue Department
Subject

Gangadharan (Sri) M - (South Kanara) - Undergoing Taluk Head Accountant's training Collector's office - Inclusion in the list of Deputy Tahsildars - Petition - Request.

Ref.No.D.8897/49-1 (C)

Station: Madras dated the 25th October 1949.
From

Sri P.M. Jayarajan, Bar-at-Law, I.C.S.,
Secretary, Board of Revenue.

Sri S. Ranganathan, I.C.S., Commissioner of Land Revenue.
Sri W.R.S. Satthianathan, I.C.S., Commr. of Commercial Taxes.

Read the following:-

From Sri M. Gangadharan, petition dated 10-8-1949.
From the Collector of South Kanara, D.Dis.No.18791/49 d/ 29-9-49.

JDRS

Subd for perusal. The receipt of the P.F. from the Collr. of S.K. may be awaited till 15.11.49

7/11/49

TSR 5/11/49 5/11/49

REFERENCE.

Submitted to the Government.

2. In his annual proposals for inclusion of names in the list of Deputy Tahsildars for the South Kanara district for 1949, the Collector reported that Sri M. Gangadharan had not done anything outstanding as Assistant Taluk Supply Officer, Bantwal and that he might wait. The Board accepted the reason given by him. The candidate is a backward Hindu aged 33. Relevant extract from the Collector's report on the candidate is enclosed.

3. The Collector of South Kanara is requested to send the personal file of Sri M. Gangadharan direct to the Government, Revenue Department and report the fact of having done so to the Board urgently.

for Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department (whole)
with a petition and extracts.

To the Collector of South Kanara - para. 3 only.

v.c/25.10.

Copy of letter from Janab Syed Ahmed, B.Sc, (Hons), Collector of South Kanara, No. D.Dis. 18791/49 dated 29-9-1949:-

Refce.- Petition dated 10-8-1949 of Sri M. Gangadharan, B.A., undergoing Taluk Head Accountant-'s training, Collector's office, received with Treasury Deputy Collector's endorsement dated 11-8-1949.

I enclose the petition of Sri M. Gangadharan, addressed to Government against the selection of candidates for regular appointments as Deputy Tahsildars made by the Board in its Ref.No.D.3325/49-3 (C) dated 14-7-1949. As the petition is against the Board's order and as the main points urged in it are in regard to the general principles laid down in G.O. No. 3437 Public (Services) dated 21-11-1947 and G.O.No.218, Public (Services) dated 21-1-1949 applicable to the whole Presidency I have no remarks to offer on the petitioner's case.

/True copy/

for Secretary

v.c/25.10.

P.T.O.

Statement showing the names of persons who are qualified for inclusion in the approved list of Deputy Tahsildars.

1. Serial No.	..	11
2. Name.	..	Sri M. Gangadharan
3. Date of birth.	..	9-6-1916
4. Age.	..	33
5. Qualification	..	B.A.
6. Tests passed and service as Revenue Inspector.	..	Rev. (Parts I to III) Accounts Parts I & II) Criminal Judicial (Parts I & II) Survey training. Maintenance examination.Service as Rev.Insptr. 1 year 1 month and 21 days. Reverted from the firka prior to 1-4-48 - Vide B.P.Rt.2998/48(C) dated 10-5-1948.
7. Post held at present.	..	Temporary Assistant Taluk Supply Officer, Buntwal.
8. Community.	..	Backward Hindu - Billava.
9. Whether the name was proposed previously and if so when.	..	No.
10. Whether striker or not.		Striker.
11. Whether recommended or not; if not reasons for not recommending.		I did camp in Bantwal and review the Procurement work of this Assistant Taluk Supply Officer. He has not done anything outstanding and I think he should wait.

/True extract/

for Secretary.

v.c/25.10.

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
11 AUG 1949
No.________

Mangalore,
Dated 10- 8- 1949.

To

The Secretary to the Government,
Revenue Department, Madras.

A1-18791/49

Through

The Proper Channel.

Humble petition of M. Gangadharan B.A.,
undergoing Treasury Training for Taluk
Head Accountant's Post, Collector's
Office, Mangalore.

Sir,

Being highly aggrieved by the selection of candidates for regular appointments as Deputy Tahsildars made by the Board of Revenue for the year 1949, the petitioner begs to submit the following facts for kind consideration and favourable orders.

2/- The petitioner joined service in the Revenue Department in the year 1939 and counts a total service of about 10 years. He is a graduate of the Madras University. He belongs to the backward community of Billava which comes under the III Schedule to part - I of the Madras Services manual. The petitioner's age is 33 years. He has passed all the special tests and is fully qualified for a Deputy Tahsildar's post. His work both in the capacity of a clerk and as Revenue Inspector was appreciated through out and he had no adverse remarks so far. During his Revenue Inspector' course, he had to his credit two Red Service Entries, a reward of Rs. 10/- and a certificate of appreciation for the good work done by him. Immediately after he completed his Revenue Inspector's course. he was promoted as Upper Division Clerk in March 1946. He worked as Upper Division Clerk for about 2 years in heavy and important seats and in appreciation of his work he was again awarded two Red

Service Entries. The Collector was also pleased to give him a further promotion to a Selection Category Post on Rs. 110/- in February 1948. In December 1948 he was promoted as Temporary Assistant Taluk Supply Officer, in the cadre of Deputy Tahsildar. The petitioner worked as Assistant Taluk Supply Officer for about six months when he was reverted for want of a vacancy. The petitioner can boldly say that his work as Assistant Taluk Supply -Officer was definitely good as would be borne out by the procurement figures relating to his jurisdiction. The petitioner is at present undergoing Taluk Head Accountant Training.

3/- These facts will show that the petitioner has worked in all capacities both as a clerk and as an Executive Officer, and has throughout won the appreciation of his supeior Officers. The petitioner with his brilliant record of service, his high educational qualification and long service in the department ought to have been appointed as a Deputy Tahsildar in the regular line long ago. But he is disappointed to find that he has not so far been selected. He is afraid that it is the new communal Government Order that has stood in the way of his promotion

4/- Under the old rule of communal rotation Hindus were classified as Brahmans and Non-Brahmans and in G.O.No. 3437 P (S) dated 21-11-1947 the Government with a view to give increased facility and encouragement to the Backward-Hindus ordered that the Non-Brahman should be further classified in to two separate categories viz. (1) Non-brahman-Hindus, and Back-Ward-Hindus, The Government must have felt the difficulties in bringing forward the Backward-Hindus when they are classed together with the other Non-Brahman-Hindus and with this object in view they were classed separately. In all spheres of direct recruitment the Government have extended to the Back-ward-Hindus the previleges extended to Harijans and in G.O. No. 2933 P (S) dated 29-11-1948 A5(J) the Government have also ordered that in the matter of temporary appointments if Harijans are not available these vacancies should be given to Back-ward-Hindus. All this will show that the intention of the Government in giving separate representation to the Back-ward-Hindus, is to give them increased facility in the matter of recruitment. In effect, however, the working of the new rules so far as this District is concerned has instead of bettering the prospects of the Back-ward½ Hindus affected their interests adversely and thrown them to the background. The division of vacancies among Non-Brahman-Hindus and Backward-Hindus in the ratio 3 : 1 made under the revised Rule of Communal representation in G.O.No. 3437 P(S) dated A1(J) 21-11-1947, works adversely in this District where more or less two thirds of the population of Non-Brahmans belong to the backward classes. The reservation of six vacancies in the communal rotation to the minority community of Non-brahmans and of only 2 vacancies to the Majority Communityof Backward Hindus works out at a definite disadvantage to the Backward Hinuds. As promotions in the Revenue Department for Deputy Tahsildar's post are made on a District basis and not on a provincial basis as in other Departments the Backward Hindus in this District who form the large bulk of the Non-Brahmans are hard-hit by the above Rules.

AP34(J)

5/- In G.O.No. 218 P (S) dated 25-1-1949, Government have ordered that the turns passed over before 21-11-1947 should be allocated between Non-Brahmans and Backward-Hindus in the proportion of 3 : 1. In this District there are 16 passed over turms belonging to the Non-Brahmans.and when these passed over turns are apportioned according to the instructions contained in the G.O. the Non-Brahman-

P.T.O.

Hindus will get 12 passed over-turns and the backward Hindus will get 4 passed-over-turns. The vacancies in the cadre of Deputy Tahsildar's are only few and far between and if according to the instructions contained in para 2 (b) of G.O.No. 218 P (S) dated 25-1-1949 all the 12 Non-Brahman-Hindus have to be appointed first before passing over to the next community in the order or rotation, a candidate belonging to the Backward-Hindu community has no chance of inclusion in the approved list of Deputy Tahsildar's in the near future. It is therefore, necessary in the interests of justice that while filling up the passed-over-turns one Backward-Hindu is appointed for every 3 Non-Brahman-Hindus. Fairness and equity also demand it.

6/- In this District 5 Non-Brahman-Hindus have been appointed since the comming into effect of the new communal G.O and no candidate belonging to the Backward-Hindus has got a chance eversince. The five Non-brahman-Hindus included in the list are (1) Sri. A.Babu Shetty (S.S.L.C) (2) Sri.K.C.Balakrishna Nair (S.S.L.C) (3) Sri.M.S.Menon (B.A) (4) Sri.H.Ramesh Rao (SS.L.C) (5) Sri.M.Amritha (Intermediate). The petitioner qualified himself for a Deputy Tahsildar's post much earlier than Nos. 3 & 5 and he is senior to Nos 1 and 2 in posts in the Rs. 110/- scale. He has also higher Educational qualification than Nos. 1,2,4 & 5. The petitioner with his superior claims is disappointed to find that the very G.O which was ~~fr~~ framed by Government with the intention of helping members belonging to his community is standing in his way and depriving him even of his legitimate chance of promotion, which he would otherwise have got.

7/- The petitioner, therefore, prays that on a kind consideration of the above facts the Government may be pleased to call for the connected records relating to the inclusion of the candidates mentioned above, the petitioner's personal file (both District Sheet and Divisional file) and to review the selection, by remedying the inequalities caused on account of the communal G.O and to direct the Board of Revenue to include his name in the approved list of Deputy Tahsildars.

PETITIONER.

Submitted to the Collector

2) The petitioner is at present undergoing Taluk Head Accountants' training in the Dt. Treasury. Before this he was working under me as Huzur First Accountant for about ten months before he was sent out as Asst Taluk Supply Officer. He is a graduate with a good record of service and richly deserves inclusion in the list of Dy. Tahsildars

P.N.Sekharan
11.8.47
Hzr. Dy. Collector

Ref.No.A1.27249/49.

South Kanara Collector's Office, 8
Mangalore, dated. 1.11.1949

Al. ASST. SECRETARY TO
65-3475

From

Janab Syed Ahmed B.Sc., (Hons)
Collector of South Kanara,
Mangalore.

To

The Secretary to the Government,
Revenue Department,
Madras.

Sir,

SUB: **GANGADHARAN** (SRI) M.: (South Kanara) Inclusion in the list of Deputy Tahsildars - Petition - Personal Files submitted.

Ref: Board's Confidential Ref.D.8897/49-1(C), dated. 25.10.49

I enclose the Personal File of Sri. M. Gangadharan as directed by the Board in its reference cited above.

Yours faithfully,

For Collector. 3/11

22/11/49

R.O.
Original Copy

9

Rev.Dept.

D.O. 63758-G/49-2-5 22-11-49

Narasimham

Public Servants- (South Kanara) - Sri M.Ganghadharan - Clerk- Inclusion in the approved list of Dy.Tahrs.-

From the Bd.of Rev. Ref. D.8897/49-1(C) dated 25th Oct.1949.

The Govt. have examined the petition of M.Ganghadharan, clerk, South Kanara Dist.Rev.Es for inclusion in the list of Dy.Tahrs.for that dist. for 1949 along with the personal file of the candid They observe that he is a Graduate and a Backward Hindu. They consider that the fact that he is young need not stand in the way of his being included in the list. His personal file shows that he is a good officer and it also contains ~~a~~ several red entries. In the circumstances, the Govt. are inclined to hold that he may be included in the approved list of Dy.Tahrs. for the South Kanara dist.for 1949. Before however passing final orders, H.M.(L.R.) would like to discuss the case with the Board Member at ~~11.30 a.m. on the~~ the interview on the 2[illegible]th Nov.1949 along with the other similar cases.

2. The personal file of the candidate is enclosed for ref. and return before the interview.

To
Sri C.V.Narasimham,ICS.
Secy. Bd.of Rev.
with the P.F.

TSK 22/11/49

22/11/49

22.11.49

22/11

D.O.No.D.8897/49.

Board of Revenue, Madras.
Dated 26th November 1949.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
71261
29 NOV 1949
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Dear Mr.Subramanian,

Sub:- PUBLIC SERVANTS - (South Kanara) - Sri M.Gangadharan, Clerk - Inclusion in the list of Deputy Tahsildars.

Ref:- Revenue Secretariat D.O.No.63758-G/4 dated 22-11-49. part

--

I am to say that the First Member, Board of Revenue interviewed the Hon'ble Minister (La Revenue) on 24-11-49 and agreed to the proposal to include the name of M.Gangadharan in the lis of Deputy Tahsildars for 1949. The Board will take further action in the matter after the Government orders are received.

Yours sincerely,

To

Sri M.V.Subramanian, I.C.S.,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Fort St.George, Madras.

6/12

Rev.Dept.

H 3-7 cy -

From Sri M.Gangadharan, petition dated 10.8.49.
From the Bd.of Rev. Ref.D.8897/49-1(C) dated 25.10.49

G.O.Ms. 3079 dated 6-12-49.

H 3-7 cy

The Govt. have examined the petition of Sri M.Gangadharan, clerk, South Kanara Dist.Rev.Estt. for inclusion in the approved list of Dy.Tahrs.for tha dist.for 1949 along with the personal file of the candidate. They observe that he is a Graduate and a Back-ward Hindu. His personal file which contains several red entries also shows that he is a good officer. In the circumstances, the Govt. direct that Sri M.Gangadharan be included in the approved list of Dy.Tahrs. for South Kanara dist.for 1949.

2. The Board of Rev. is requested to take action accordingly.

2.The petitioner is referred to Bd.for orders. on his petition read above.

To
The Board of Rev.(with PF)
The petitioner para 2 only
thro Bd. of Rev

Despd.
7/12

TSK
7.12.49

1/12/49

Rev.Dept.

Public Servants-(South Kanara) - Sri M.Gangadharan-Clerk- Inclusion in the List of Dy.Tahrs.

H 3-7 cf — From Sri M.Gangadharan, petition dated 10.8.49.
H 1-2 cf From the Bd.of Rev. Ref.D.8897/49-1 d/25.10.49.
p 8 cf From the Collr.of South Kanara, Letter No.A-1-27349/49 dated 1.11.1949.

To AS

Please see the petition of Sri M.Gangadharan at pages 3-7 cf. and the Board's Ref. at pages 1-2 cf.

p 2 cf

2. The petitioner is a Backward Hindu, aged 33. He is a Graduate and is technically qualified to hold the post of ~~k~~ a Dy.Tahr. He participated in the N.G.G.Os strike. In his annual proposals for the dist.for 1949, the Collr.did not recommend the name of the petitioner as he observed that the petitioner had not done anything outstanding as Asst.T.S.O. Bantwal. The Board accepted the reasons given by the Collr., and ~~his~~ latter's case was accordingly not considered by the Bd.

Marpur X

18 NOV 1949

3. In his petition at pages 3-5 cf. the petitioner ~~xx~~ states that as he belongs to a backward hindu community he should be considered sympathetically and ~~xkxxkx~~ requests to be included in ~~xkx~~ any of the passed over turns for that community. It may be mentioned that the communal rule does not apply for selection and inclusion of names in the approved list of Dy.Tahrs. It is only ~~for purposes~~ at the stage of appointment as Dy.Tahrs.that communal rule comes into play --vide rule 6 of the Spl.Rules for M.R.S.S. In the circumstances stated by the Collr. at "X" above, ~~and~~ and in para 4 below, no interference on ~~xbhxxx~~ behalf of the petitioner seems called for.

para 7, p.7 cf
See also X on p 6 cf
p 25 29 Tru (14)

4 Further, selection to the post of Dy.Tahr. is based on competitive merit and ability. ~~xx~~ Inclusion in the list of Dy.Tahrs. is one of the statutory functions of the Bd., and the Govt.do not ordinarily interfere ~~on behalf of.~~

with the Board's discretion in the matter. In the circumstances, it is for orders whether the petition may be rejected. (He is young and his case will continue to be considered by the Bd. till he completes the age of 40.) Subject to orders, a draft order is submitted for approval.

(The personal file of the petitioner is kept below.)

May be reject

TSK 13.11.49

15.11.49

HM (LR)

16/11

C.17

May be included in the 1949 ~~list~~ ~~[illegible]~~ He is a graduate & a B.L. Age need not stand in the way. The fact that he is young is no reason to make him wait. His personal file shows that he is ~~[illegible]~~ reported to be good. I see also a [illegible] entry in his record.

17/11

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
18 NOV 1949
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

To US

Please see the minutes of H.M.(L.R.) above.

2. With reference to the/recently established convention, the Bd. has to be given an opportunity before passing final orders in the matter. A draft D.O. to the Bd.'s Secy. is submitted for approval.

(PF kept below)

TSK 20.11.49 20.11.49

22.11.49

HM(LR)

HM (LR)

3.

List of Deputy Tahsildars - inclusion of names
Appeal petitions from (1) A.V. Ramaswami, Salem,
(2) P.S. Palaniswami Gounder, Mathurai, (3) M. Chandrasekhara Rao, East Godavari, (4) B. Hanumantha Rao, East Godavari and (5) M. Gangadhran, South Kanara.

I have discussed the above cases with the First Member, Board of Revenue this morning. He agreed with me that out of the five cases mentioned above, four may be included by allowing their appeals. So far as A.V. Ramaswami's appeal is concerned he promised to include his name in the current year's list for the district which is being finalised now.

The necessary orders may be issued.

... TO GOVERNMENT
24 NOV 1949
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

H.M.(L.R.)
24-11-1949.

p. 10 cf.

From the Bd of Rev. D.O. No. 8897 [illegible]

To US

Please see the minutes of H.M.(L.R.) above and the D.O. at p. 10 cf.

2. Necessary extracts (of H.M.'s minutes [illegible]) have been taken to ~~other~~ [illegible] files for necessary action.

CN 02 37476/49
612 339/Leg
649129/Leg
608139/Leg

3. A draft order including Sri M.Gangadharan in the list of Dy.Tahrs. for 1949 for South Kanara dist. is submitted for approval.

TSK
1.12.49

1.12.49

1/12/49